37 Am. Jur. 2d Fraud and Deceit § 163

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | May 2021 Update

Fraud and Deceit

George Blum, J.D., John Bourdeau, J.D., Romualdo P. Eclavea, J.D., Janice Holben, J.D., Karl Oakes, J.D. and Eric C. Surette, J.D.

- IV. False Representations
- G. Representations and Statements as to Particular Matters
- 2. Title, Location, Quantity, and Quality of Property
- c. Quantity; Acreage

§ 163. Exchanges

Topic Summary | Correlation Table | References

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Fraud 27, 28

A.L.R. Library

Tort liability for damages for misrepresentations as to area of real property sold or exchanged, 54 A.L.R.2d 660

Forms

Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Fraud and Deceit §§ 193 to 196 (Fraud in exchange transactions)

In exchange transactions, false representations as to the quantity of the property offered in exchange may be the basis of a charge of fraud. If the various elements of a cause of action for fraud and deceit are established, a party to a contract for the exchange of lands may be held liable in tort for misrepresentation by the party or the party's agent in overstating the area of the land exchanged.²

© 2021 Thomson Reuters, 33-34B © 2021 Thomson Reuters/RIA, No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works, All rights reserved.

Footnotes

2

Williams v. Hume, 83 Ind. App. 608, 149 N.E. 355 (1925); Jacks v. Manning, 297 S.W. 588 (Tex. Civ. App. Austin 1927).

Statements made by one of the parties in a cattle trade as to the number of head of cattle, which are nothing more than a guess or estimate and must have been so regarded by the other party, do not form the basis of

any assertion of fraud. Sorrells v. Clifford, 23 Ariz. 448, 204 P. 1013 (1922).

Summers v. Martin, 77 Idaho 469, 295 P.2d 265 (1956); Jacks v. Manning, 297 S.W. 588 (Tex. Civ. App.

Austin 1927); Black v. Thompson, 110 Wash. 379, 188 P. 393 (1920).

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.